

# Bivariate BCI Algebras

E. Ilojide<sup>1\*</sup> and O. O. George<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta 110101, Nigeria.

2. Department of Mathematics, University of Lagos, Akoka, Nigeria.

\* Corresponding author: [emmailojide@yahoo.com](mailto:emmailojide@yahoo.com)\*, [ilojidee@funaab.edu.ng](mailto:ilojidee@funaab.edu.ng),  
[femi\\_george@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:femi_george@yahoo.co.uk), [oogeorge@unilag.edu.ng](mailto:oogeorge@unilag.edu.ng)

## Article Info

Received: 03 September 2024    Revised: 11 January 2025

Accepted: 15 January 2025    Available online: 30 January 2025

---

## Abstract

In this paper, the concept of bivariate BCI algebras is introduced. Properties of  $\rho$ -variate,  $\lambda$ -variate and bivariate BCI algebras are investigated.

---

**Keywords:** Bivariate BCI Algebras,  $\rho$ - Variate BCI Algebras,  $\lambda$ -Variate BCI Algebras.

**MSC2010:** 20N02, 20N05, 06F35.

## 1 Introduction

An algebra of type  $(2, 0)$  is a non-empty set, having a constant element, on which is defined a binary operation such that certain axioms are satisfied. BCI algebras and BCK algebras, introduced in [16] and [15], are common varieties of such algebras. There are several other varieties of algebras of type  $(2, 0)$ . There are also several generalizations of BCI algebras. In [5], BCH algebras were studied. In [22],  $d$  algebras were studied. In [19], the notion of  $BE$  algebras was introduced. Ideals and upper sets in  $BE$  algebras were investigated in [1] and [2]. Pre-commutative algebras were studied in [20]. Fenyves algebras were studied in [17], [13] and [18]. Recently, it has been shown in [3] that algebras of type  $(2,0)$  have diverse applications in coding theory. Motivated by this, more research interest has been given to the study of algebras of type  $(2,0)$ . In [21],  $Q$  algebras were introduced. Nayo algebras were studied in [7]. Obic algebras were introduced and properties of implicative obic algebras were investigated in [8]. In [9], torian algebras were studied. It was shown that the class of torian algebras is a wider class than the class of obic algebras.

In this paper, bivariate BCI algebras are introduced. Properties of  $\rho$ -variate,  $\lambda$ -variate and bivariate BCI algebras are investigated.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, some basic concepts necessary for proper understanding of this paper are discussed.

**Definition 2.1.** [16]. An algebra  $(X; *, 0)$ ; where  $X$  is a non-empty set,  $*$  a binary operation defined on  $X$ , and  $0$  a constant element of  $X$  is called a BCI algebra if the following hold for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

1.  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$
2.  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$
3.  $x * x = 0$
4.  $x * y = 0, y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$
5.  $x * 0 = x$

Define a binary relation  $\leq$  on a BCI algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ . Then  $(X; \leq)$  is a partially ordered set.

**Definition 2.2.** [16]. A BCI algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  which satisfies  $0 * x = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  is called a BCK algebra.

**Proposition 2.3.** [23]. Let  $x, y, z$  be elements of a BCI algebra  $X$ . Then  $x \leq y \Rightarrow z * y \leq z * x$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a BCI algebra. We define  $x * y^k = [(x * y) * y] * \dots] * y$  ( $k$  times); where  $k$  is a natural number.

The following Lemmas are straightforward from definition.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $X$  be a BCI algebra. Then  $x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a BCI algebra. Then  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

We shall denote a BCI algebra by  $X$  unless there is the need to emphasize its binary operation and the constant element.

### 3 Main Results

In this section, we introduce  $\rho$ - variate,  $\lambda$ - variate and bivariate BCI algebras and some of their properties are investigated.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a BCI algebra. An element  $x \in X$  is called a  $\rho$ - variate element if  $(y * z) * x = (y * x) * (z * x)$  for all  $y, z \in X$ .

The collection of all  $\rho$ - variate elements of  $X$  is denoted by  $X^\rho$ . If  $X^\rho = X$ , then  $X$  is called a  $\rho$ - variate BCI algebra.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a BCI algebra. An element  $x \in X$  is called a  $\lambda$ - variate element if  $x * (y * z) = (x * y) * (x * z)$  for all  $y, z \in X$ .

The collection of all  $\lambda$ - variate elements of  $X$  is denoted by  $X^\lambda$ . Notice that  $X^\lambda \neq X$  for any BCI algebra  $X$ .

**Definition 3.3.** An element  $x$  in a BCI algebra  $X$ , is called a bivariate element if  $x$  is both  $\lambda$ - variate and  $\rho$ - variate.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $X$  be a BCI algebra. Then  $0$  is a bivariate element of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(0 * x) * (0 * y) = (((x * y) * (x * y)) * x) * (0 * y) = (((x * y) * x) * (x * y)) * (0 * y) = ((0 * y) * (x * y)) * (0 * y) = 0 * (x * y)$ . Thus,  $0$  is  $\lambda$ - variate. The fact that  $0$  is  $\rho$ - variate is obvious.  $\square$

**Definition 3.5.** A BCI algebra  $X$  is called a bivariate BCI algebra if the following hold:

- 1 .  $X$  is a  $\rho$ - variate;
- 2 .  $\{0\} \subset X^\lambda$ .

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Define a binary operation  $*$  on  $X$  by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	2	0
3	3	3	3	0	0
4	4	4	3	2	0

Then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a bivariate BCI algebra. Notice that  $\{0\} \neq X^\lambda \cap X^\rho$  because  $2 \in X^\lambda \cap X^\rho$ .

The following Lemma is obvious from definition.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $X$  be a  $\rho$ -variate BCI algebra. Then the following hold for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- 1 .  $y * x = (y * x) * (0 * x)$ ;
- 2 .  $0 * x = 0$ ;
- 3 .  $(x * z) * x = 0 * (z * x)$ ;
- 4 .  $0 * z = 0 * (z * x)$ ;
- 5 .  $(y * z) * z = y * z$ ;
- 6 .  $(y * x) * z = y * z$ ;
- 7 .  $((0 * x) * z) * x = ((0 * x) * x) * (z * x)$ ;
- 8 .  $(y * x) = (y * x) * ((0 * x) * x)$ ;
- 9 .  $(x * z) * x = (0 * x) * (z * x)$ ;
- 10 .  $(0 * x) * z = (0 * x) * (z * x)$ ;
- 11 .  $(x * z) * x = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $X$  be a BCI algebra. Then  $X$  is  $\rho$ -variate if and only if  $x * y = (x * y) * y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $x * y = (x * y) * y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Notice that  $(x * z) * (y * z) = ((x * z) * z) * (y * z) \leq (x * z) * y$ . So,

$$((x * z) * (y * z)) * ((x * z) * y) = 0 \quad (1)$$

By Lemma 3.1(11), we have  $(y * z) \leq y$ . By Proposition 2.1, we have  $(x * z) * y \leq (x * z) * (y * z)$ . Therefore,

$$((x * z) * y) * ((x * z) * (y * z)) = 0 \quad (2)$$

From expressions (1) and (2), we have  $(x * z) * y = (x * z) * (y * z)$  or, equivalently,  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$  as required.

By Lemma 3.1(5), the converse holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $X$  be a BCI algebra. Then  $X$  is  $\rho$ -variate if and only if  $(x * y) * y = x * (x * (x * y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 3.1 and the fact that  $x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $X$  be a  $\rho$ -variate BCI algebra such that the following hold for all  $x, y, z, p, v \in X$ :

1.  $(x * (y * z)) * (x * (y * p)) \leq (z * p);$
2.  $x \leq y \Rightarrow (z * y) \leq (z * x);$
3.  $(x * y) \leq v \Rightarrow (x * v) \leq (x * (x * y)).$

Then  $(x * (x * y)) * (y * x) = (x * x * (y * (y * x)))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* Notice that  $[x * (x * y)] * [x * [x * [y * (y * x)]]] \leq [y * [y * (y * x)]] = y * x$ . Hence,  $[x * (x * y)] * (y * x) \leq [x * [x * [y * (y * x)]]$ . Now let  $[x * [y * (y * x)]] = v$ . Then we have  $(x * v) \leq [y * (y * x)]$ . Notice that  $[y * (y * x)] \leq y$ . So,  $(x * y) \leq [x * [y * (y * x)]]$ ; giving us  $(x * y) \leq v$ ; so that  $(x * v) \leq [x * (x * y)]$ . Now notice also that  $[y * (y * x)] = [y * (y * x)] * (y * x) \leq [x * (y * x)]$ . Since  $(x * v) \leq [y * (y * x)]$  and  $[y * (y * x)] \leq [x * (y * x)]$ , we have  $(x * v) \leq [x * (y * x)]$ .

Now, 'multiply' both sides of the last relation on the right by  $v$  to get  $[(x * v) * v] \leq [x * (y * x)] * v$ . That is,  $[(x * v) * v] \leq (x * v) * (y * x)$ ; giving us  $(x * v) \leq [(x * v) * (y * x)]$ ; leading to  $(x * v) \leq [[x * (x * y)] * (y * x)]$ . Substituting back for  $v$ , we have  $[x * [x * [y * (y * x)]]] \leq [x * (x * y)] * (y * x)$ . Since  $[x * (x * y)] * (y * x) \leq [x * [x * [y * (y * x)]]]$  and  $[x * [x * [y * (y * x)]]] \leq [x * (x * y)] * (y * x)$ , we conclude that  $[x * [x * [y * (y * x)]]] = [x * (x * y)] * (y * x)$  as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** Let  $X$  be a bivariate BCI algebra such that the following hold for all  $x, y, z, p, v \in X^\lambda$ :

1.  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * ((x * y) * (x * p)) \leq (z * p);$
2.  $x \leq y \Rightarrow z * (y * x) = 0;$
3.  $(x * y) \leq v \Rightarrow (x * v) * (0 * (x * y)) = 0.$

Then  $(x * (x * (0 * (x * y)))) = (0 * (x * y)) * (y * x)$  for all  $x, y \in X^\lambda$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 3.2 and the definition of  $X^\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12.** Let  $X$  be a  $\rho$ -variate BCI algebra such that the following hold for all  $x, y, z, p, v \in X$ :

1.  $(x * (y * z)) * (x * (y * p)) \leq (z * p);$
2.  $x \leq y \Rightarrow (z * y) \leq (z * x);$
3.  $(x * y) \leq v \Rightarrow (x * v) \leq (x * (x * y)).$

Then  $(x * (y * x)) * ((x * y) * (y * x)) = (x * (x * (y * (y * x))))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 3.2 and the definition of  $X^\rho$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $X$  be a  $\rho$ -variate BCI algebra such that the following hold for all  $x, y, z, p, v \in X$ :

1.  $(x * (y * z)) * (x * (y * p)) \leq (z * p);$
2.  $x \leq y \Rightarrow (z * y) \leq (z * x);$
3.  $(x * y) \leq v \Rightarrow (x * v) \leq (x * (x * y)).$

Then  $(x * y) * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2, for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$[x * (x * y)] * (y * x) = [x * [x * [y * (y * x)]]] \tag{3}$$

Put  $x * y$  for  $x$ , and put  $x$  for  $y$  in expression (1). Then the left hand side becomes  $[(x * y) * ((x * y) * x)] * [x * (x * y)]$   
 $= [(x * y) * ((x * x) * y)] * [x * (x * y)] = [(x * y) * (0 * y)] * [x * (x * y)]$

$$= (x * y) * [x * (x * y)].$$

Also, the right hand side becomes  $(x * y) * [(x * y) * [x * [x * (x * y)]]]$

$$= (x * y) * [(x * y) * (x * y)] = x * y.$$

Hence, equating the left and right hand sides, we have  $(x * y) * [x * (x * y)] = x * y$  as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $X$  be a bivariate BCI algebra such that the following hold for all  $x, y, z, p, v$  in  $X^\lambda$ :*

1.  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * ((x * y) * (x * p)) \leq (z * p)$ ;
2.  $x \leq y \Rightarrow z * (y * x) = 0$ ;
3.  $(x * y) \leq v \Rightarrow (x * v) * (0 * (x * y)) = 0$ .

Then  $(x * y) * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$  for all  $x, y \in X^\lambda$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 3.3 and the definition of  $X^\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.15.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\rho$ - variate BCI algebra such that the following hold for all  $x, y, z, p, v \in X$ :*

1.  $(x * (y * z)) * (x * (y * p)) \leq (z * p)$ ;
2.  $x \leq y \Rightarrow (z * y) \leq (z * x)$ ;
3.  $(x * y) \leq v \Rightarrow (x * v) \leq (x * (x * y))$ .

Then  $(x * (x * (x * y))) * ((y * x) * (x * y)) = x * y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 3.3 and the definition of  $X^\rho$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\rho$ - variate BCI algebra such that the following hold for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :*

1.  $x \leq y \Rightarrow (x * z) \leq (y * z)$
2.  $x * y^k = x * y^{k+1}$ ; where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; the set of natural numbers.
3.  $x * y^k = x * y^l$  for all  $l \geq k \in \mathbb{N}$
4.  $(x * z^k) * (y * z^k) \leq (x * y)$ .

Then  $(x * y) * z^k = (x * z^k) = (x * z^k) * (y * z^k)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis, we have  $x * z^k = x * z^{2k}$ . Since,  $(x * z^k) * (y * z^k) \leq (x * y)$ , we have  $[(x * z^k) * (y * z^k)] * z^k \leq (x * y) * z^k$ ; which gives  $[(x * z^k) * z^k] * (y * z^k) \leq (x * y) * z^k$ ; which results to  $(x * z^{2k}) * (y * z^k) \leq (x * y) * z^k$ . Since  $x * z^k = x * z^{2k}$ , we now have

$$(x * z^k) * (y * z^k) \leq (x * y) * z^k \tag{4}$$

Notice that  $(y * z^k) * y = 0$ . So,  $(y * z^k) \leq y$ . We therefore have  $[(x * z^k) * y] \leq [(x * z^k) * (y * z^k)]$ ; which gives

$$[(x * y) * z^k] \leq [(x * z^k) * (y * z^k)] \tag{5}$$

By expressions (4) and (5), we have  $(x * y) * z^k = (x * z^k) * (y * z^k)$  as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.17.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\rho$ - variate BCI algebra. If  $(x * y) * z^k = (x * z^k) * (y * z^k)$ , then  $x * z^k = x * z^{k+1}$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ;  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis, we have  $(x * z) * z^k = (x * z^k) * (z * z^k)$ ; which gives  $x * z^{k+1} = x * z^k$  as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\rho$ - variate BCI algebra such that the following hold for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :*

1.  $x * y^k = x * y^{k+1}, k \in \mathbb{N};$
2.  $x * y^k = x * y^l$  for all  $l \geq k;$
3.  $x \leq y \Rightarrow (x * z) * (y * z).$

Then  $[y * (y * x)^k] * (x * y)^k = [x * (x * y)^k] * (y * x)^k$  for all  $x, y \in X.$

*Proof.* By hypothesis, we have

$$x * (x * y)^{k_1} = x * (x * y)^{k_1} \quad (6)$$

and

$$y * (y * x)^{k_2} = y * (y * x)^{k_2} \quad (7)$$

Let  $k$  be the maximum of  $k_1$  and  $k_2.$  Then

$$x * (x * y)^k = x * (x * y)^{k+1} \quad (8)$$

and

$$y * (y * x)^k = y * (y * x)^{k+1} \quad (9)$$

Notice that  $[x * (x * y)] * y = 0.$  So,  $x * (x * y) \leq y;$  and from expression (6), we have

$$x * [(x * y)^k \leq y * (x * y)^k] \quad (10)$$

Now, 'multiply' expression (8) on both sides on the right by  $y * x$  ( $k$  times) to get

$$[x * (x * y)^k] * (y * x)^k \sim [y * (x * y)^k] * (y * x)^k \quad (11)$$

Now apply Lemma 2.2 to expression (9) to get

$$[x * (x * y)^k] * (y * x)^k \sim [y * (y * x)^k] * (x * y)^k \quad (12)$$

Also notice that  $[y * (y * x)] * x = 0.$  So,  $[y * (y * x)] \leq x;$  and so from expression (7), we have

$$[y * (y * x)^k] \leq [x * (y * x)^k] \quad (13)$$

'Multiply' both sides of expression (11) on the right by  $x * y$  ( $k$  times) to get

$$[y * (y * x)^k] * (x * y)^k \leq [x * (y * x)^k] * (x * y)^k \quad (14)$$

Now apply Lemma 2.2 to expression (12) to get

$$[y * (y * x)^k] * (x * y)^k \leq [x * (x * y)^k] * (y * x)^k \quad (15)$$

From expressions (12) and (15), we have  $[y * (y * x)^k] * (x * y)^k = [x * (x * y)^k] * (y * x)^k$  as required.  $\square$

## 4 Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] Ahn S. S. and So K. S., *On ideals and upper sets in BE-algebras*, Sci. Math. Jpn. 68(2008), 351–357.
- [2] Ahn S. S. and So K. S., *On Generalized upper sets in BE-algebras*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 46(2009), 281–287.
- [3] Ebrahimi M. and Izadara A., *The Ideal Entropy of BCI- algebras and its Application in Binary Linear Codes*, Soft Computing, 2019, 23:39–57.
- [4] Francis M. O., Adeniji A. O., Mogbonju M. M., *Workdone by m-Topological Transformation Semigroup*, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Optimization: Theory and Applications, 9(1) (2023), 33–42.
- [5] Hu Q. P. and Li X., *On BCH algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes II, (1983), 313–320.
- [6] Ibrahim A., Akinwunmi S. A. and Mogbonju M. M. and Onyeozili I. A., *Combinatorial Model of 3- Dimensional Nildempotency Star-like Classes  $N_cW_n^*$  Partial One To One Semigroups*, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Optimization: Theory and Applications, 10(1) (2024), 25–33.
- [7] Ilojide E., *Monics and Krib Maps in Nayo Algebras*, Journal of The Nigerian Mathematical Society, 40,(1),(2021), 1–16.
- [8] Ilojide E., *On Obic Algebras*, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics, 4(2019), 80–88.
- [9] Ilojide E., *A Note on Torian Algebras*, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics, 2(2020), 80–87.
- [10] Ilojide E., *On Ideals of Torian Algebras*, International J. Math. Combin. 2(2020), 101–108.
- [11] Ilojide E., *On Krieb Algebras*, Journal of Algebraic Hyperstructures and Logical Algebras 5(2)(2024), 169–182.
- [12] Ilojide E., *On Isomorphism Theorems of Torian Algebras*, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics, 1(2021), 56–61.
- [13] Ilojide E., Jaiyeola T. G. and Olatinwo M. O., *On Holomorphy of Fenyves BCI-algebras*, Journal of the Nigerian Mathematical Society, 38(2),(2019), 139–155.
- [14] Ilojide E., Jaiyeola T. G. and Owojori O. O., *On the Classification of groupoids and quasi-groups generated by Linear bivariate polynomials over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$* , International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics, 2(2011), 79–97.
- [15] Imai Y. and Iseki K., *On Axiom System of Propositional Calculi*, Proc. Japan Acad., 42(1996), 19–22.
- [16] Iseki K., *An Algebra Related with Propositional calculus*, Proc. Japan Acad., 42(1996), 26–29.
- [17] Jaiyeola T. G., Ilojide E., Olatinwo M. O. and Smarandache F. S., *On the Classification of Bol-Moufang Type of Some Varieties of Quasi Neutrosophic Triplet Loops (Fenyves BCI-algebras)*, Symmetry 10(2018), 427. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym10100427>.
- [18] Jaiyeola T. G., Ilojide E., Saka A. J. and Ilori K. G., *On the Isotopy of Some Varieties of Fenyves Quasi Neutrosophic Triplet Loops(Fenyves BCI-algebras)*, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 31(2020), 200–223. DOI: 105281/zenodo.3640219.



- 
- [19] Kim H. S. and Kim Y. H., *On BE-algebras*, Sci. Math. Jpn. 66(2007),113–116.
- [20] Kim H. S., Neggers J. and Ahn S. S., *On Pre-Commutative Algebras*, Mathematics, 7(2019), 336. doi:10.33390/math7040336.
- [21] Neggers J., Sun S. A. and Hee S. K., *On Q-algebras*, International J. of Math. and Math. Sci. 27(2001), 749–757.
- [22] Neggers J. and Kim H. S., *On d-algebras*, Mathematica Slovaca, 49(1999), 19–26.
- [23] Yiseng H., *BCI Algebra*, Science Press, Beijing (2006), 356pp.